

Generalized Left Jordan ideals In Prime Rings

Kassim A. Jassim

Department of Mathematics
College of Science-Baghdad Uni.

Ali Kareem Kadhim

Department of Mathematics
College of Basic Education-Almustansiryah Uni.

Abstract

Let R be a prime ring and U be a (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal. Then in this paper, we proved the following, if $aU \subseteq Z$ ($Ua \subseteq Z$), $a \in R$, then $a = 0$ or $U \subseteq Z$. If $aU \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$ ($Ua \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$), $a \in R$, then either $a = 0$ or $U \subseteq Z$. If $0 \neq [U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq Z$. Then $U \subseteq Z$. If $0 \neq [U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $U \subseteq Z$. Also, we checked the converse some of these theorems and showed that are not true, so we give an example for them.

Keywords: R be a prime ring, Z be a center of R , $C_{\sigma, \tau}$ be a (σ, τ) -centralizer, U be (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal of R , F be a field and d be a derivation of R .

(1)Introduction

Many authors studied Jordan ideals & Jordan ideals with derivation and proved many results when the ring is prime or semiprime. In the end of the twentieth century and the beginning of this century, Neset Aydin, H. Kandamar and K. Kaya Studied (σ, τ) -right Jordan ideals and proved that if R is a prime ring and U is a (σ, τ) -right Jordan ideal of R , then (i) if $(U, U)_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then R is commutative (ii) if U is commutative then R is commutative. (iii) $aU=0$ (or $Ua=0$) and $a \in R$, then $a=0$. (iv) if $U \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then R is commutative, see [2].

Also, Kassim A. Jassim proved when U is a (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal of R that (i) if $aU=0$ (or $Ua=0$) and $a \in R$, then $a=0$ or $U \subseteq Z$. (ii) if characteristic of R not equal 2 and $U \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $u \in U$. (iii) if $d(U)=0$, $d\tau = \tau d$ and $d\sigma = \sigma d$, then $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z(R)$ for all $u \in U$, see [1].

In this paper we want to study the generalization some of above results in (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal of R . So, we must recall the basic terms that we need them in this research, as the ring R is a prime ring if $aRb=0$, $a, b \in R$ implies that $a=0$ or $b=0$. Also, we must recall Z is the center of R if $r \in Z(R)$, then $rx=xr$ for all $x \in R$. Also, we recall (σ, τ) -centralizer $C_{\sigma, \tau}$ if $r \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then for all $x \in R$ $r\sigma(x) = \tau(x)r$. Also we recall the product $[,]$ on R as follows $[x, y] = xy - yx$, see [4]. Also, we used the identities in this paper as follows: For all $x, y, z \in R$. (i) $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$. (ii) $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$. (iii) $[xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma, \tau}y = x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau}$.

$+ [x, \tau(z)]y$.see[5] . Also, the Jordan product is define as follows:
 (i) $(x, y)_{\sigma, \tau} = x\sigma(y) + \tau(y)x$. (ii) $(xy, z)_{\sigma, \tau} = x(y, z)_{\sigma, \tau} - [x, \tau(z)]y = x[y, \sigma(z)] + (x, z)_{\sigma, \tau}y$.

In this paper we considered U be an additive subgroup of R . $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be two mappings of R . Then we can defined U is a (σ, τ) -right Jordan ideal of R if $(U, R)_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$. Also, U is (σ, τ) -Left Jordan Ideal of R if $(R, U)_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$. So, a (σ, τ) -Jordan ideal of R , if U is a (σ, τ) -right and Left Jordan Ideal of R [3].

Also, every (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal is a Jordan ideal but the converse is not true and the following example showed that

Example(1.1)[2]

Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} , x, y, z, t \in F \right\}$, where F is a field of $ChF=2$ be a ring of 2×2 matrices with respect to the usual operations of addition and multiplication. $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} , a, b \in F \right\}$ be an additive subgroup of R . Let $\sigma, \tau: R \rightarrow R$ be two mappings, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & -z \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa & yb \\ za & tb \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} bx & by \\ az & at \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa + bx & yb + by \\ za + az & tb + at \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} xa + bx & 0 \\ 0 & tb + at \end{pmatrix} \in U.$$

Thus, U is a (σ, τ) -left Jordan ideal of R , but U is not a Jordan ideal of R as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa + ax & yb + ay \\ za + bz & tb + bt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & yb + ay \\ za + bz & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin U$$

2.The Main Results

At first, we generalizing $aU=0(Ua=0)$ in [1] as below.

Theorem (2.1) :- Let U be a (σ, τ) – left Jordan ideal , $a \in R$, if $aU \subset Z$ ($Ua \subset Z$), then $a = 0$ Or $U \subset Z$.

Proof :- By the hypothesis $aU \subset Z$, so for all $u \in U, x \in R$, we have

$a(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} = ax[\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u)$ for all $u \in U, x \in R$
 $= a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u)$.Since $a(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} \in Z$ for all $u \in U, x \in R$, then $a(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u)$.So, we get $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \in Z$. This implies that for all $r \in R$, we get $[a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), r] = 0$ for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Therefore, we have

$0 = [a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), r] = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), r] + [a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, r] \sigma(u)$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since by hypothesis $aU \subset Z$, then $[a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, r] \sigma(u) = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Thus

$a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since $aU \subset Z$, $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} R[\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Also, we have R is a prime ring then either $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. or $[\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$.

If $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$, then by the [1] , we get either $a = 0$ or $U \subset Z$. If $[\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$, then $U \subset Z$

From the other hand if $Ua \subset Z$, then for all $u \in U, x \in R$.

$(\tau(u)x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = \tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a - [\tau(u), \tau(u)]x a = \tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. So, we have

$(\tau(u)x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = \tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Since $(\tau(u)x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a \in Z$ (By hypothesis), then $\tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a \in Z$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$.

Therefore, for all $r \in R$

$0 = [\tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r]$, for all $r \in R$

$= \tau(u)[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r] + [\tau(u), r](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since $Ua \subset Z$, then $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Thus , we have

$[\tau(u), r](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since $Ua \subset Z$, implies that

$[\tau(u), r]R(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since R is prime ring , then either $[\tau(u), r] = 0$ or $(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$.

If $[\tau(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U$, then implies that $U \subset Z$

If $(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$ for all $u \in U, x \in R$, then by [1] we get either $a = 0$ or $U \subset Z$.

Also, we generalized the above Theorem as below.

Theorem (2.2) :- Let U be a (σ, τ) – left Jordan ideal , $a \in R$, if $aU \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}(Ua \subset C_{\sigma, \tau})$, then either $a = 0$ or $U \subset Z$

Proof: - By hypothesis if $aU \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, we have

$a(x\sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} = ax[\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u) = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u)$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Therefore, we have

$a(x\sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u)$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Since $aU \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then

$a(x\sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau} \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$ and also, we have $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Then for all $r \in R$, we get $[a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u), r]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$

$0 = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] + [a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, r]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(u) = a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Then , we have $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Thus,

implies that $\tau(y)a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, y, r \in R$. Since

$aU \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(y)[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, y, r \in R$.

Therefore,

$a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} R [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Since R is prime ring , then either $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ or $[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$.

If $a(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Then by [1] , $aU = 0$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $U \subset Z$. If $[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$. implies that $U \subset Z$. For the other hand , if $Ua \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then , for all $u \in U, x \in R$, we get $(\tau(u)x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = \tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a - [\tau(u), \tau(u)]x a = \tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$. Since $Ua \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $(\tau(u)x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ and also we have $\tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$. Therefore,

$[\tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0, r \in R$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Thus

$0 = [\tau(u)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau(u)[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a, r]_{\sigma, \tau} + [\tau(u), \tau(r)](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$
 $= [\tau(u), \tau(r)](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a$. Therefore , $[\tau(u), \tau(r)](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Also , we have $[\tau(u), \tau(r)](x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a \sigma(y) = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, y, r \in R$. So, by $Ua \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$, we get that $[\tau(u), \tau(r)]\tau(y)(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$. So, we get for all $u \in U, x, y, r \in R$ $[\tau(u), \tau(r)]R(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$. Since R is a prime ring, then either

$[\tau(u), \tau(r)] = 0$ or $(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$.

If $[\tau(u), \tau(r)] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$. Then we get $U \subset Z$. If $(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} a = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$, then by [1] , we get $a = 0$ or $U \subset Z$.

Now, the below theorem shows that if $0 \neq [U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset Z$, then $U \subset Z$.

Theorem (2.3) :- Let U be a (σ, τ) – left Jordan ideal ,if $0 \neq [U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset Z$, then $U \subset Z$.

Proof :- By the hypothesis , we get $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in Z$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. So, we have $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} = [x [\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + (x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}$
 $= [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}$

Since $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset Z$, we have $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in Z$.

Therefore, $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in Z$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Also, we have

$[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = (x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u)$
 $= [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \in Z$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$. Also, we have

$0 = [[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), r] = [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), r] + [[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau}, r] \sigma(u)$,

for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. By the hypothesis $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset Z$, then $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), r] = 0$. Since $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset Z$, we get $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} R [\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. By the primeness of R we get either

$[\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$ or $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x \in R$ and this a contradiction with the hypothesis .So, we get $[\sigma(u), r] = 0$, for all $u \in U, r \in R$. Therefore $U \subset Z$.

Remark(2.4):

The converse for the above Theorem is not necessary true all the time, and the following example shows

Example(2.5)[2]

Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z, t \in F \right\}$, where F is a field of $ChF=2$ be a ring of 2×2 matrices with respect to the usual operations of addition and multiplication.

$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F \right\}$ be an additive subgroup of R . Let $\sigma, \tau : R \rightarrow R$ be two mappings, such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & -z \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 a_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(a_1 a_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 2(a_1 a_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in U. \text{ Then } U \text{ be a } (\sigma, \tau) - \text{left Jordan ideal}$$

So, by the hypothesis $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq Z$ we can show this condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 a_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_2 a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ But this result is}$$

Contradict with the hypothesis $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \neq 0$.

Also, we generalized the above Theorem as below.

Theorem (2.6) :- Let U be a (σ, τ) – left Jordan ideal, if $0 \neq [U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $U \subseteq Z$.

Proof: - By the hypothesis, we get $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, for all $u \in U$, $x \in R$. So, we have $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} = [x [\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}$

$$= [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau}$$

Since $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, we have $[(x \sigma(u), u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ and therefore,

$[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$, for all $u \in U$, $x \in R$. Also, we have

$$[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), u]_{\sigma, \tau} = (x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), \sigma(u)] + [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \\ = [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}, \text{ for all } u \in U, x \in R.$$

Therefore, $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), r]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U$, $x, r \in R$. So,

$$0 = [[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u), r]_{\sigma, \tau} = [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] + [[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau}, r]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U, x, r \in R. \text{ Also, we have } [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0.$$

Also, $\tau(y) [(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U$, $x, r, y \in R$. Also,

$[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau}, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(y) [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U$, $x, r, y \in R$. Then

$[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} u]_{\sigma, \tau} \sigma(y) [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. Also, we have $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} u]_{\sigma, \tau} R [\sigma(u), \sigma(r)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$. By the primeness of R , we get $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} u]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ or $[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$ for all $u \in U, x, r \in R$.

If $[(x, u)_{\sigma, \tau} u]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ contradiction with the hypothesis. If $[\sigma(u), \sigma(r)] = 0$ for all $u \in U, r \in R$, implies that $U \subset Z$.

Remark(2.7):

Also, by the previous example(2.5) we can show the above theorem is not necessary true all the time.

References

1. Abdul-Hameed, Kassim. , Some results on (σ, τ) -Left Jordan ideals in prime rings. Journal of science-Al-Nahrain Univ. (To appear)
2. Abdul-Ruhman hameed and Kassim Abdul-hameed ,Some results on (σ, τ) -Lie ideals in prime rings, Iraqi Journal Of Science, Vol.49, No.1, 2008, pp.188-191.
3. Aydin Neset ,H. Kandamar and K. Kaya , Generalized Jordan structure of Prime rings , Doga Tr.J. of Mathematics Vol. 17 , 1993, pp 251-258.
4. Herstein, I.N. On the Lie structure of an associative ring, Journal of Algebra Vol.14 , 1970, pp.561-571.
5. Kaya, K. , Aydin, N. , Ogolbasi, O. , Some results for generalized Lie ideals with derivation II , Applied Mathematics E-Notes , Vol.1 , 2001, pp 24-30.