

Left Jordan σ -Centralizer on Completely Prime Γ -Ring

Dr. Salah Mehdi Salih

Department of Mathematics College of
 Education AL-Mustanseryh University

Abstract

Let M be Γ -ring this research introduce the concepts of left (resp. right) σ -centralizer, left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer, left (resp. right) Jordan triple σ -centralizer of Γ -ring as well as prove that every left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of completely prime Γ -ring M is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer of M .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 16A12, 16A78.

Keywords: gamma ring, left σ -centralizer, left Jordan σ -centralizer.

1) Introduction

Nobusawa [6] introduced the notion of a Γ -ring, more general than a ring. Barnes [1] weakend slightly the conditions in the definition of Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups. Suppose that there is a mapping from $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (the image of (a, α, b) being denoted by $a\alpha b$, $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

$$i) (a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$$

$$a(\alpha+\beta)c = a\alpha c + a\beta c$$

$$a\alpha(b+c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$$

$$ii) (a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$$

then M is called a Γ -ring. This definition is due to Barnes[1]. If the following condition holds for a Γ -ring M then M is called a prime Γ -ring [2], $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$, $a, b \in M$, M is called a semiprime Γ -ring if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$ then $a=0$ and M is called a completely prime Γ -ring if $a\Gamma b = 0$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$, M is called 2-torsion free if $2a=0$ implies $a=0$ for all $a \in M$. Jing [5] defined a derivation on Γ -ring as followings an additive map $d: M \rightarrow M$ is called derivation if $d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$. Sapanci and Nakajima defined a Jordan derivation on Γ -ring as follows d is called Jordan derivation if $d(x\alpha x) = d(x)\alpha x + x\alpha d(x)$.

Zalar [7] defined left (resp. right) centralizer and left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer of ring R and proved that any left (resp. right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (resp. right) centralizer. Hoque and Paul [4] defined centralizer and Jordan centralizer on Jordan ideal of Γ -ring M and

proved that every Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring M such that $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ is centralizer on M . Cortes and Haetinger [3] defined left (resp. right) σ -centralizer, left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of Lie ideal U onto ring R and proved that if R is 2-torsion free ring then every left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of Lie ideal U onto ring R is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer.

This research generalization the results of Cortes and Haetinger by present the concepts of left (resp. right) σ -centralizer, left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer, left (resp. right) Jordan triple σ -centralizer of Γ -ring as well as the research prove that:

- i) Every left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of Γ -ring M into completely prime Γ -ring is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer of M .
- ii) Every left (resp. right) Jordan triple σ -centralizer of 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring M such that $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer of M .

2) Left Jordan σ -Centralizer on Γ -Ring:

In this section the research present the concepts of left σ -centralizer, left Jordan σ -centralizer, left Jordan triple σ -centralizer of Γ -ring and the research study the relation among them. We begin by the following definition:

Definition 2.1:

Let M be Γ -ring and $\sigma: M \rightarrow M$ be endomorphism an additive mapping $T: M \rightarrow M$ is called left σ -centralizer (right σ -centralizer) if for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ then $T(x\alpha y) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)$ (respectively $T(x\alpha y) = \sigma(x)\alpha T(y)$). T is called left Jordan σ -centralizer (right Jordan σ -centralizer) if $T(x\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(x)$ (respectively $T(x\alpha x) = \sigma(x)\alpha T(x)$) for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. T is called left Jordan triple centralizer (right Jordan triple centralizer) if $T(x\alpha y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x)$ (respectively $T(x\alpha y\beta x) = \sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta T(x)$).

It's clear that every left σ -centralizer (right σ -centralizer) is left Jordan σ -centralizer (right Jordan σ -centralizer). The research gives an example of Jordan left σ -centralizer which is not left σ -centralizer.

Example 2.2:

Let M be a Γ -ring. Define $M_1 = \{(x, x) : x \in M\}$ and $\Gamma_1 = \{(\alpha, \alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$. Let the operations of addition and multiplication on M_1 be defined by :

$$(x_1, x_1) + (x_2, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2)$$

$$(x_1, x_1)(\alpha, \alpha)(x_2, x_2) = (x_1\alpha x_2, x_1\alpha x_2)$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Then it can easily seen that M_1 is Γ_1 -ring. Let $\sigma: M \rightarrow M$ be endomorphism, $d_1: M \rightarrow M$ be a left σ -centralizer mapping and $d_2: M \rightarrow M$ be a right σ -

centralizer and commuting mapping, $\sigma_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ be endomorphism defined by

$\sigma_1(x, x) = (\sigma(x), \sigma(x))$ for all $x \in M$, we define the additive mapping $T: M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ by $T(x, x) = (d_1(x), d_2(x))$ for all $x \in M$.

Then T is a left Jordan σ_1 -centralizer, which is not a left σ_1 -centralizer of M_1 .

Now, the research present the properties of left σ -centralizer.

Lemma 1:

If T is left Jordan σ -centralizer of Γ -ring M then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

$$i) T(x\alpha y + y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(y)\beta\sigma(x)$$

$$ii) T(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(x)$$

$$iii) T(x\alpha y\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(x)$$

$$iv) T(x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x)$$

$$v) T(x\alpha y\alpha z + z\alpha y\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(z) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(x)$$

Proof:

$$i) T((x+y)\alpha(y+x)) = T(x+y)\alpha\sigma(y+x) \\ = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(x)$$

On the other hand

$$T((x+y)\alpha(y+x)) = T(x\alpha y + x\alpha x + y\alpha y + y\alpha x) \\ = T(x\alpha x + y\alpha y) + T(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) \\ = T(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x\alpha y + y\alpha x)$$

Compare (1) and (2) we get:

$$T(x\alpha y + y\alpha x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(y)$$

ii) Replace α for β in (i) we get the require result.

iii) Replace α for β in definition (2.1) we get the require result.

iv) Replace $x+z$ for x in (iii) we get

$$T((x+z)\alpha y\beta(x+z)) = T(x+z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x+z) \\ = (T(x) + T(z))\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x+z) \\ = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z)$$

On the other hand

$$T((x+z)\alpha y\beta(x+z)) = T(x\alpha y\beta x + x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x + z\alpha y\beta z) \\ = T(x\alpha y\beta x + z\alpha y\beta z) + T(x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x) \\ = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z) + T(x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x)$$

Compare (1) and (2) we get:

$$T(x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x).$$

v) Replace α for β in (iv) we get the require result.

Definition 2.3:

Let T be left Jordan σ -centralizer of Γ -ring M . Then for every $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we define $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)$.
 Now, the research introduce the property of $\Phi_\alpha(x, y)$.

Lemma 2:

Let T be left Jordan σ -centralizer of Γ -ring M , then for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

- i) $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = -\Phi_\alpha(y, x)$
- ii) $\Phi_\alpha(x+y, z) = \Phi_\alpha(x, z) + \Phi_\alpha(y, z)$
- iii) $\Phi_\alpha(x, y+z) = \Phi_\alpha(x, y) + \Phi_\alpha(x, z)$
- iv) $\Phi_{\alpha+\beta}(x, y) = \Phi_\alpha(x, y) + \Phi_\beta(x, y)$

Proof:

- i) $T(x\alpha y + y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(y)\beta\sigma(x)$
 $T(x\alpha y) + T(y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(y)\beta\sigma(x)$
 $T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) = -(T(y\beta x) - T(y)\beta\sigma(x))$
 $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = -\Phi_\alpha(y, x)$
- ii) $\Phi_\alpha(x+y, z) = T(x+y)\alpha\sigma(z) - T(x+y)\alpha\sigma(z)$
 $= T(x)\alpha\sigma(z) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(z) - (T(x)\alpha\sigma(z) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(z))$
 $= T(x)\alpha\sigma(z) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(z) + T(y)\alpha\sigma(z) - T(y)\alpha\sigma(z)$
 $= \Phi_\alpha(x, z) + \Phi_\alpha(y, z)$
- iii) $\Phi_\alpha(x, y+z) = T(x\alpha(y+z)) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y+z)$
 $= T(x\alpha y + x\alpha z) - (T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(z))$
 $= T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(z) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(z)$
 $= \Phi_\alpha(x, y) + \Phi_\alpha(x, z)$
- iv) $\Phi_{\alpha+\beta}(x, y) = T(x(\alpha+\beta)y) - T(x)(\alpha+\beta)\sigma(y)$
 $= T(x\alpha y + x\beta y) - (T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x)\beta\sigma(y))$
 $= T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x\beta y) - T(x)\beta\sigma(y)$
 $= \Phi_\alpha(x, y) + \Phi_\beta(x, y)$

Remark 2.4:

Note that T is left σ -centralizer of Γ -ring M if and only if $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

3) The Main Results

In this section the research present the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3:

Let T be left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of completely prime Γ -ring M , then $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Proof:

Replace z by $x\alpha y$ in lemma 1 (v) , we get :

$$\begin{aligned} T(x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha y + x\alpha y\alpha y\alpha x) &= T((x\alpha y)\alpha(x\alpha y)) + T((x\alpha y)\alpha(y\alpha x)) \\ &= T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) + T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) \\ &= T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) + T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) \quad \dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha y + x\alpha y\alpha y\alpha x) &= T(x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha y + x\alpha(y\alpha y)\alpha x) \\ &= T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(x) \\ &= T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) \quad \dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

Compare (1) and (2) we get:

$$T(x\alpha y)\alpha(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) - T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) = 0$$

$$[T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)]\alpha\sigma(x\alpha y) - [T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)]\alpha\sigma(y\alpha x) = 0$$

$$\Phi_\alpha(x, y)\alpha\sigma[x, y]_\alpha = 0$$

Since M is completely prime we get

$$\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = 0.$$

Corollary:

Every left (resp. right) Jordan σ -centralizer of completely prime Γ -ring M is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer of M .

Proof:

By using theorem 3 and remark 2.3.

In the following theorem the research present the relation between left Jordan triple σ -centralizer and left σ -centralizer on Γ -ring M .

Theorem 4:

Every left (resp. right) Jordan triple σ -centralizer of 2-tortion free completely prime Γ -ring M such that $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ is left (resp. right) σ -centralizer of M .

Proof:

By theorem 1 (iv)

$$T(x\alpha y\beta z + z\alpha y\beta x) = T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(z) + T(z)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x)$$

Replace z by $x\alpha y$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(x\alpha y\beta x\alpha y + x\alpha y\alpha y\beta x) &= T(x\alpha y)\beta(x\alpha y) + T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) \\ &= T(x\alpha y)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) \quad \dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} T(x\alpha y\beta x\alpha y + x\alpha y\alpha y\beta x) &= T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x\alpha y) + (x\alpha y)\alpha(y\beta x) \\ &= T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) + \end{aligned}$$

$$T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) \dots(2)$$

Compare (1) and (2) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(x\alpha y)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) + T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) - T(x\alpha y)\beta\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) - \\ T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$[T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)]\alpha\sigma(y)\beta\sigma(x) - [T(x\alpha y) - T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)]\beta\sigma(x)\alpha\sigma(y) = 0$$

$$\Phi_\alpha(x, y)\beta\sigma[x, y]_\alpha = 0$$

Since M is completely prime Γ -ring we get:

Either $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = 0$ or $\sigma[x, y]_\alpha = 0$

If $\Phi_\alpha(x, y) = 0$ then by remark 2.3 we have T is left σ -centralizer.

Now if $\sigma[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ then M is commutative Γ -ring and by theorem 1(i) we get

$$T(2x\alpha y) = 2T(x)\alpha\sigma(y)$$

Hence M is 2-torsion free Γ -ring we get T is left σ -centralizer.

References

- 1) W. E. Barnes " On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa " Pacific J. Math. Vol. 18, pp. 411-422, 1966.
- 2) Y. Ceven " Jordan left derivations on completely prime gamma rings " C.U. Fen-Edebiyat Fakultesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 39-43, 2002.
- 3) W. Cortes and C. Haetinger " On Lie ideals and left Jordan σ -centralizers of 2-torsion-free rings " Math. J. Okayama Univ. Vol. 51, pp. 111-119, 2009.
- 4) M. F. Hoque and A. C. Paul " On centralizers of semiprime gamma rings " International Math. Forum, Vol. 6, No. 13, pp. 627-638, 2011.
- 5) F. Jing " On derivations of Γ -ring " Qu Fu Shi Fan Daxue Xuebeo Ziran Kexue Ban, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 159-161, 1987.
- 6) N. Nobusawa " On a generalization of the ring theory " Osaka J. Math., Vol. 1, pp 81-89, 1964.
- 7) B. Zalar " On centralizers of semiprime rings " Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32, pp. 609-614, 1991.

تمركزات σ -جوردان اليسارية على الحلقات - الاولى المتكاملة

د. صلاح مهدي صالح

قسم الرياضيات كلية التربية الجامعة المستنصرية

الخلاصة

لتكن M حلقة- Γ البحث قدم المفاهيم التالية تمركز- σ اليساري (اليميني على التوالي)، تمركز- σ جوردان اليساري (اليميني على التوالي) وتمركز- σ جوردان الثلاثي اليساري (اليميني على التوالي) على حلقة- Γ كما برهن البحث كل تمركز- σ جوردان اليساري (اليميني على التوالي) على الحلقة- Γ الاولى المتكاملة M هو تمركز- σ اليساري (اليميني على التوالي) على M .