A laboratory assessment on the effect of powder from Foeniculum vulgare and Eruca sativa against saw-toothed grain beetle Oryzaephilus surinamensis (L.)

Hind S. Abdulhay

College of Science, University of Baghdad

Abstract

This study was conducted to determine the insecticidal activity of botanical powders from Fennel, Foeniculum vulgare Miller and Eurca sativa Miller against saw-toothed grain beetle Orvzaephilus surinamensis (L.). Plant powders were admixed with wheat grains at concentrations of 5.0, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 □g/kg. The mortality was determined after 24 and 48 h after treatment then the flasks were kept to get F₁ progeny. Results showed that all tested concentrations for both F. vulgare and E. sativa exhibited high toxicity effects on O. surinamensis adults and the high toxicity rate was concentration and time dependent. On the basis of lethal toxicity (LC₅₀) value fruit powder from F. vulgare were more toxic to O. surinamensis adults than seed powder from E. sativa at 24 and 48 hrs after treatment. Also, the fruit powder of F. vulgare caused a very strong F1 progeny population inhibition activity against O. surinamensis with the highest inhibition rate was counted from the wheat grain treated with 80 g/kg and 100g/kg for F. vulgare fruit powder and E. sativa seed powder, respectively. Moreover, these plant materials had no adverse effect in the germination percentage of wheat grains treatment. These results indicated that F. vulgare fruit powder and E. sativa seed powder could be applicable to the management of stored product insects to decrease ecologically detrimental effects of using insecticides.

Key word: saw-toothed grain beetle, insecticidal activity, powder, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Eurca sativa*.

Introduction

Harvested crops including seeds, grains and cereals suffer a loss of at least 10% from insect pests during storage. Losses of 30% are common throughout large areas of the world [1]. The saw-toothed grain beetle, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* L. (Coleoptera: Silvanidae), is one of the most common grain and stored product insect pest worldwide, which can cause serious loss to cereals affecting the quantity as well as quality of the grains [2]. Larvae and adults infest cereal, cornstarch, rice, dried fruits, flour, pasta, spices, herbs and various



other food products especially because of their ability as cosmopolitan invaders of packaged food [3]. The saw-toothed grain beetle is a very small insect has the ability to hide in many places in storage facilities, making it difficult to be controlled by insecticides, although it has built up resistance to several insecticides [4].

Plant materials with insecticidal properties have been used traditionally for generations throughout the world including many traditional medicine plants which have biological activities to many major stored-grain insects [5]. Different kinds of dry plant materials were stored with grain during the storage period and the stored grain could be completely protected from the infestation [6]. Some powders made from fruits, seeds, flowers, leaves, shoot, bark and roots of local medicinal / insecticidal plants have been demonstrated to be effective in protecting stored cereals and legumes against pest depredation [7] especially seeds and flowers as it predicted to be defended constitutively at higher levels than other tissue [8]. Many studies have investigated plants materials for toxicity as potential insecticide. [9] evaluated the fruit powder of Crescentia cujete and leaf powder of neem, scented basil, camphor,lemon grass, peppermint, scented coleus against O. surinamensis. [10] tested powders obtained from dry ground Ailanthus altissima Swingle bark, Cnidium monnieri (L.) fruit and Alpinia officinarum Hance rhizome for their abilities to protect grains from damage by O. surinamensis (L.). [11] studied the toxicity of powders and aqueous extracts from seeds and pericarps of Jatropha curcas on Sitophilus zeamais, Rhyzopertha dominica F., Tribolium castaneum Herbst and O. surimanensis. The ethanolic extracts of five plants leaves Melia azedarach, Mentha longifolia, Myrtus communis, Cymbopogon citratus and Datura stramonium were tested against three stored grain pests O. surinamensis, T. castaneum and Callosobruchus chinensis L. [12]. Also, the chemical composition of the essential oil from seeds of Carum copticum was studied against adults of Tribolium confusum du Val, R. dominica and O. surinamensis [13].

The present study was carried out to assess the potential insecticidal activities of dry powders obtained from two famous traditional medicine plants the seeds of *Eruca sativa* Miller (Brassicaceae) and the dried fruits (often called seeds) of fennel, *Foeniculum vulgare* Miller belongs to the family Apiaceae (formerly Umbelliferae) against a major insect pests of stored grains *O. surinamensis* adults and progeny production.

Materials and methods

Insect culture:

Preliminary population of O. surinamensis was obtained from infested flour in local stores in Baghdad. Culture were reared in glass containers (1 litter) containing wheat flour mixed with yeast (19:1 w/w), covered by a fine mesh cloth for ventilation. The cultures were maintained in a controlled temperature and humidity (27± 2°C and 70 ± 5% relative humidity) in the dark [14]. Insects were reared for three generations before initiation of experiments. Pupae have been isolated from the culture and observed for adult emergence to be used in subsequent experiments. All experimental procedures were carried out under the same environmental conditions as the cultures.

Plant material collection and preparation

Seeds from *E. sativa* and the dried fennel fruits from *f. vulgare* were purchased from the local herbal store, identified by the Biology Department, college of Science, Baghdad University, Iraq.

To prepare the powders, seeds of E. sativa and dried fruits from f. vulgare were cleaned from dust and dirt, and then ground into powder form by using electrical grinder. The powders were passed through sieve of $0.25 \square$ mm mesh size to standardize particles size then preserved in a glass jar and stored in a refrigerator at 4° C until used for insect bioassays.

Contact toxicity test of plant powders

The *O. surinmensis* used for experiment were randomly chosen for bioassays. For each plant powder twenty active unsexed adults about 5 - 7 days were exposed to disinfested broken wheat grains admixed with powdered plant material at concentrations ranging between 5.0,10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and $100 \Box g/kg$ or without plant material as control in glass flasks covered with muslin held with rubber bands and incubated at $27\pm 2^{\circ}C$ and $70\pm 5\%$ relative humidity. Four replicates were used of each concentration in addition to control. Insect mortality was determined after 24 and 48 hours from treatment application to assess direct toxicity of powders. Insects that did not move when lightly probed or shaken in the light were considered dead.

F1 progeny assessment

The dead adults were removed and the treated flasks were still kept under the same experimental conditions. All live and dead insects were sieved and discarded after 14 days of introduction. Then the grains were kept until emergence of F1 progeny .After F_1 progeny adults emerged, numbers were counted daily in the flasks (treated and control) then removed to anther flask until no longer F_1 progeny adults appeared. The F_1 progeny population

inhibition rate (FPIR) of both plant powders against *O. surinamensis* was calculated according to:

FPIR % = [(Nc - Nt) / Nc] 100

Where: Nc = the number of the F_1 progeny in the control, Nt = the number of the F_1 progeny in the treated flask.

Germination test

The viability of treated and control grains were tested separately by treated grains with seed powder of E. sativa and the dried fruit from F. vulgare at the concentration of 100 g/ kg and without powder as a control, then twenty grains were placed separately in Petri dishes containing moistened filter paper (Whatman No. 1). The dishes were kept at $27\pm2^{\circ}$ C and 12 L: 12 D. Each treatment was replicated three times. The dishes were observed and the number of emerged seedlings from each Petri dish was counted and recorded after 7 days. The percent germination was computed according to the methods of [15] as follows:

Viability index (%) = (NG*100)/TG

Where NG = number of seeds germinated and TG = total number of seeds tested in each Petri dish.

Statistical analysis

The percentage insect mortality was corrected by [16]. Results were expressed as means \pm Standard deviation (SD) and were separated using least significant differences (LSD) (P = 0.05) using the Duncan's test. The LC₅₀ values were calculated by standard probit methods [17] using the SPSS software package [18].

Results

The efficacy of different concentration powders in the mortality of *O. surinamensis* adults after 24h and 48h is shown in Table 1. Results showed that all tested concentrations for *F. vulgare* fruit powder and *E. sativa* seed powder were toxic against *O. surinamensis*, and mortality percentages were directly proportional to the powder concentrations and time after treatment. The *F. vulgare* fruit powder was more toxic to insect adults than *E. sativa* seed powder. The lowest concentration (5.0g/kg) elicited a toxicity response being 19.25% and 33% for *E. sativa* and *F. vulgare* after 48h of treatment, respectively, while at 100 g/kg concentration there was a significant toxic effect reaching 92.5% and 100% for *E. sativa* and *F. vulgare* at 48h after treatment, respectively.

Table 1. Contact toxicity of test plant materials against O. surinamensis

	Insect mortality rate (%) (Mean ± SE)				
Concentration	E	sativa	F. vulgare		
(g/kg)	After		After		
	24h	48h	24h	48h	
5.0	12.5 ± 2.08 c	$19.25 \pm 3.78 \ d$	$25\pm3.83~c$	$33 \pm 4.97 \ d$	
10	$22.5 \pm 3.69 \text{ c}$	$34.5 \pm 5.26 \text{ ed}$	35 ± 4.76 c	45.75±4.03 cd	
20	32.5 ± 4.65 c	$45 \pm 6.78 \text{ cd}$	$47.5 \pm 4.04 \mathrm{c}$	$57.5 \pm 4.20 \text{ cd}$	
40	$45 \pm 6.88 \text{ be}$	60.25 ± 7.83 be	$59 \pm 7.83 \text{ bc}$	$76 \pm 4.89 \text{ abc}$	
80	$75 \pm 3.56 \text{ ab}$	$78.25 \pm 5.06 \text{ ab}$	$88 \pm 5.59 \text{ ab}$	95.75±3.09 ab	
100	90 ± 5.48 a	$92.5 \pm 3.79 \ a$	$97.5 \pm 2.38 a$	$100 \pm 0.0 \; a$	

Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at the P< 0.05 level (Duncan test)

The probit statistics, estimate of LC₅₀ for 24 and 48 hours after treatment are presented in Table 2. the result indicates that F. *vulgare* fruit powder was the most toxic on O. *surinamensis*. The LC₅₀ values after 24h was 29.230 g/kg for E. *sativa* seed powder, while it was 16.103 g/kg for the F. *vulgare* fruit powder. LC₅₀ values after $48\Box h$ for E. *sativa* powder was $20.065\Box g/kg$ which is 1.78 times higher than the corresponding value for F. *vulgare* fruit powder.

Table 2. LC₅₀ values of E. sativa and F. vulgare powders against the adults of O.surinamensis

Time	LC ₅₀ (g/kg)	Slope (± SE)	Intercept	R ²
After				
24h				
E. sativa	29.230	1.6898±	2.5230	0.958
		(0.288)		
F.	16.103	1.8757±	2.7361	0.932
vulgare		(0.419)		
After				
48h				
E. sativa	20.065	1.5933	2.9248	0.964
		$\pm (0.252)$		
F.	11.299	2.0351	2.8569	0.957
vulgare		$\pm (0.354)$		

Effect of treatments on the F1 progeny production

It was obvious from the result in Table (3) that all tested concentration caused significant reduction in the number of F1 adults emerged and the fruit powder of F. vulgare was found to be the most effective against O. surinamensis. The number of F1 adults emerged was decreased from 50.20 at 5.0 g/kg to 3.0 at 80g/kg for E. sativa seed powder, meanwhile the fruit powder of F. vulgare decrease the number from 38.32 at 5.0 g/kg to 6.0 at 40 g/kg. Furthermore, no F1 adults were emerged at concentration of 80g/kg for F. vulgare and 100 g/kg for E. sativa.

As can be seen in table 3 the F1 progeny population inhibition efficacy notably increased with the increasing treatment concentration for the tested plant powders. The fruit powder of *F. vulgare* caused a very strong F1 progeny population inhibition activity against *O. surinamensis* with the highest inhibition rate (FPIR) was counted from the wheat grain treated with 80 g/kg and 100g/kg for *F. vulgare* fruit powder and *E. sativa* seed powder, respectively.

Table 3. The F1 progeny population inhibition rate of *E. sativa* and *F. vulgare* powders against *O. surinamensis* at different concentration.

	powders against 0. surmamensis at different concentration.					
Concentrati The number of living		Number of F1 adults		FPIR (%)		
on (g/kg)	adults		emerged			
					E. sativa	F.
	E. sativa	F. vulgare	E. sativa	F. vulgare	vulgare	
5.0	62.82± 4.79 d	50.40 ±6.48	50.20± 5.48	38.32 ±4.65	22.32± 4.35 d	41.05 ±
		ь	c	Ъ		2.59 c
10	50.0± 7.48 cd	$39.34 \pm .86$	36.07 ± 3.69	27.15±7.28	46.32± 5.23	58.23±
		ь	c	ab	bed	7.34bc
20	40.0± 4.24 bc	31.05 ± 5.5	21.45±4.12	17.67±3.16	68.08 ± 5.16	72.82±
		ab	be	ab	abc	6.08ab
40	29.20±5.12	18.2 ± 4.03	15.10±2.75	6± 1.41 a	74.55 ± 4.12	90.77± 2.5
	abc	ab	ab		ab	a
80	17.4± 2.08 ab	$3 \pm 0.82 \text{ a}$	3± 0.82 a	_	95.54± 4.43 a	$100 \pm 0.0 \text{ a}$
		- 3.32 3	- 3.32 5			
100	6± 1.83 a	_	_	_	$100 \pm 0.0 \text{ a}$	$100 \pm 0.0 \text{ a}$
	0= 1.05 u				0.04	100 = 0.0 u

Values followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at the $P \le 0.05$ level Duncan test).

Effect of plant powders treatment on the germination

Percent germination of wheat grains treated with plants powder is presented in Table 4. There was no significant (P > 0.05) difference in the germination capacity between wheat grains treated with seed powder of E. sativa or the fruit powder of F. vulgare and control treatments after 7 days of treatment. Generally, all the treated grains were as viable as the untreated grains.

Table 4. Percent germination of wheat grains after 7 days of treatme	Table 4. Percent	germination	of wheat	grains after 7	7 day	s of treatmen
---	------------------	-------------	----------	----------------	-------	---------------

Treatments	germination of
	grains
	(% mean ± SE)
E. sativa	93.3 ± 1.15 a
F. vulgare	$95 \pm 1.0 \text{ a}$
Control	96.67 ± 0.58 a
(Untreated)	

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ($P \le 0.05$ level

Discussion

The results demonstrate that powders from *F. vulgare* and *E. sativa* showed different potencies against the adults of *O. surinamensis*. The fruit powder of *F. vulgare* induced higher mortality of *O. surinamensis* adults than seed powder of *E. sativa* in treated grains. Contact toxicity progressively increased with increasing concentration and time after treatment. It indicated that higher concentration and longer exposure periods are needed to achieve appreciable management of adults. LC₅₀ values for *O. surinamensis* adults were decreased after 48 hrs after treatment for both *F. vulgare* and *E. sativa* powder (Table 3). [19] stated that the period of exposure appears to be more important than dosage in affecting the efficiency of the vapors of *Acorus calamus* essential oil to adults of five stored-product insect species. Similar results have been reported for the toxicity of methanol extract of the rhizome from *Acorus gramineus* to adults of *S. oryzae* and *Lasioderma serricorne* [20].

Data showed that adult emergence was significantly suppressed by plant powders. Although 92.5 % mortality was obtained after 48 h of treatment at 100 g/kg for *E. sativa*, the *F. vulgare* fruit powder showed higher effect at the same concentration and after 24 h of exposure. The powders of *E. sativa* and *F. vulgare* exhibited the F1 progeny population inhibition rate (FPIR) of 95.54 % and 100 % at the concentration of 80 % and overall decrease in F1 progeny production at concentration of 100 g/kg. [21] and [22] reported that when leaf, bark and seed powder of plants mixed with stored-grains reduce oviposition rate and suppress adult emergence, and also reduced seed damage rate. The plant

material either suppressed oviposition or killed the insects at different developing stages from eggs, larvae, pupae to adults, preventing feeding and damage on the treated wheat. The differences in responses of the *O. surinamensis* to different plant species could be attributed to the different components of plant powders [10].

Previous studies demonstrated that E. sativa as a medicinal plant has constituents including glucosinolates, flavonoids, etc. [23]. Degradation products of glucosinolates are isothiocyanates, thiocyanates, nitriles and other products [24]. Glucosinolates and their breakdown products have been studies because of the possibility of using them as natural pesticides [25]. The volatile and pungent isothiocyanates may be toxic or deterrent to a broad range of organisms like fungi [26], nematodes [27], insect herbivores [28] and stored-grain insects [29]. Also, [25] concluded that glucosinolate were toxic to the larvae of Musca domestica. Meanwhile [30] concentrated on the toxicant influence of the extracted alcohols of E. sativa, Raphanus sativus and Lactuca sativa on Callosobruchus maculates (Fab.). Toxicity of isothiocyanates has been attributed to affect the respiratory function by inhibiting certain enzymes in the respiratory electron transport chain of insects, thus reducing the oxygen consumption and perhaps CO₂ production as well [31].

Also, F. vulgare are a common traditional herb in pharmacopoeias in Arab, Chinese and Indian, it has many biological activities due to its volatile and nonvolatile compounds[32] and the main active constituents, which include the terpenoid anethole, are found in the volatile oil. [33] indicated that methyl chavicol (= estragole) and Limonene in the essential oil of F. vulgare were the major components. [34] reported that estragole is toxic fumigant compound active against insect pests. [35] mentioned that extracts of F. vulgare fruit caused over 90% mortality in adults of S. oryzae and C. chinensis at 3 or 4 days after treatment. Another experiment showed that F. vulgare fruit extract gave 67% and 100% mortality in contact action in Attagenus unicolor japonicus larvae at 5.2 mg/cm², 21 and 28 days after treatment respectively [36]. [37] tested the insecticidal activity of essential oils from F. vulgare against Sitophilus granarius and Sitophilus oryzae. Also, [38] investigated the efficacy of F. vulgare extract for repellency and oviposition deterrent of cowpea weevil C. maculates under laboratory conditions. [39] studied the aphidicidial activity of F. vulgare essential oil against cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae and found that the applications caused a higher offspring mortality rate compared with control treatments.

The mode of action of medicinal/ insecticidal plants include toxicity to adults, reduction of oviposition, oxicidal activity, toxicity to immature stages

prior or immediately following penetration of plan tissue [40]. Whereas [41] stated that the modes of action of powder vary, but with low to moderate dosages, the effect is always repellent or toxic.

Therefore, the results concluded that the dried fruit from *F. vulgare* and *E. sativa* seed powder have a potential for integrated pest management programs against *O. surinamensis*, and at the same time, these natural products used as culinary and medicinal plants are considered fully biodegradable, less toxic and can pose lesser risks to human health and the environment. Thus, it is candidate to further investigate to improve their efficacy.

References

- 1- Cink J. and Harein P. J.1989. Stored Grain Pest Management. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, USA.
- 2- Kucerova Z.; Aulicky, R. and Stejskal, V. 2003. Accumulation of pest-arthropods in grain residues found in an empty store. J. Plant Dis. Protect., 110: 499-504.
- 3- Mowery, S. V.; Mullen, M. A.; Campbell, J. F. and Broce, A. B. 2002. Mechanisms underlying sawtoothed grain beetle (*Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) infestation of consumer food packaging materials. J. Econ. Entomol., 95: 1333–1336.
- 4- Wallbank, B. E. and Collins, P. J. 2003. Recent changes in resistance to grain protectants in eastern Australia, p. 66–70. In: E. J. Wright, M. C. Webb and E. Highley (eds.). Stored grain in Australia 2003. Proceedings of the Australian Postharvest Technical Conference, Canberra, 25–27 June.
- 5- Liu, Z.L.; Goh, S.H. and Ho, S.H. 2007. Screening of Chinese medicinal herbs for bioactivity against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). J. Stored Prod. Res. 43: 290-296.
- 6- Yang, R.Z. and Tang, C.S. 1988. Plants used for pest control in China: a literature review. Econ. Bot., 42: 376–406.
- 7- Ashamo, M. O. and Odeyemi, O. O. 2001. Protiction of maize against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch using seed extract from some indigenous plants. J. Plant Dis. Protect., 108(3):320-327.
- 8- Zangerl, A.R. and Nitao, J.K. 1998. Optimal defense, kin conflict and the distribution of furanocoumarins among offspring of wild parsnip. Evolutionary Ecology.12:443-457.
- 9- Mishra, S. K.; Prakash, A.; Rao, J. and Jachuck, M.2000. Evaluation of botanicals as rice protectants against *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* Linn. (Silvanidae: Coleoptera). Journal of Applied Zoological Researches. 11:132-134.
- 10- Lü, J. H. and Shi, Y. 2012. A laboratory assessment on the effect of powder from *Ailanthus altissima*, *Alpinia officinarum* and *Cnidium monnieri* against *Oryzaephilus surinamensis*. African Journal of Agricultural Research .7(8):1331-1334.



- 11- Silva, G.N.; Faroni, L.R.A.; Sousa, A.H. and Freitas, R.S. 2012. Bioactivity of *Jatropha curcas* L. to insect pests of stored products. J. Stored Products Res., 48: 111-113.
- 12- Manzoor, F.; Nasim, G.; Saif, S.; and Malik, S. A. 2011. Effect of ethanolic plant extracts on three storage grain pests of economic importance. Pak. J. Bot., 43(6): 2941-2946.
- 13- Habashi, A. S.; Safaralizadeh, M. H. and Safavi, S. A. 2011. Fumigant toxicity of *Carum copticum* L. oil against *Tribolium confusum* du Val, *Rhyzopertha dominica* F. and *Oryzaphilus surinamensis* L. Mun. Ent. Zool., 6 (1): 282-289.
- 14- Valizadegan,O.; Pourmirza, A. A. and Safaralizadeh, M. H.2012. The impact of carbon dioxide in stored-product insect treatment with phosphine. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 11(23):6377-6382.
- 15-Zibokere, D. S. 1994. Insecticidal potency of red pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) on pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) infesting cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) seeds during storage. Indian J. Agr. Sci., 64(10): 727-728.
- 16- Abbott W. S. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18:265–267.
- 17- Finney, D.J. 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press. 3rd ed . Cambridge, UK. 333 p.
- 18- SPSS. 2001. SPSS Version 10.0. SPSS Inc, 233 S. Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois.
- 19- El-Nahal, A.K.M.; Schmidt, G.H. and Risha, E.M. 1989. Vapours of *Acorus calamus* oil-a space treatment for stored-product insects. J. Stored Products Res., 25:211–216.
- 20- Park, C. 2000. Insecticidal activity of basarone derived from *Acorus gramineus* root against insect pests. MSc. Thesis, Seoul National University.
- 21- Keita, S.M.; Vincent C.; Schmit J.P.; Arnason J.T. and Belanger A. 2001. Efficacy of essential oil of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *O. gratissimum* L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Stored Products Res., 37(4):339–349.
- 22- Tapondjou, L.A.; Adler, C.; Bouda, H. and Fontem, D.A. 2002. Efficacy of powder and essential oil from *Chenopodium ambrosioides* leaves as post-harvest grain protectants against six-stored product beetles. J. Stored Products Res., 38(4):395–402.
- 23- Kim, S.J. and Ishii, G. 2006. Glucisinolate profile in the seeds, leaves and roots of the rocket salad (*Eruca sativa* Mill.) and antioxidant activity of intact plant powder and purified 4-methoxyglucobrassicin. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 52: 394-400.
- 24- Agrawal A.A. and Kurashige N.S. 2003. A role for isothiocyanates in plant resistance against the specialist herbivore *Pieris rapae*. J. Chem. Ecol. 29:1403–1415.
- 25- Tsao, R.; Reuber, M.; Johnson, L. and Coats, J.R. 1996. Insecticidal toxicity of glucosinolate-containing extracts from crambe seeds. J. Agric. Entomol. 13:109-120.

- 26- Tierens, K.; Thomma, B.P.H.; Brouwer, M.; Schmidt, J.; Kistner, K.; Porzel, A.; Mauch-Mani, B.; Cammue, B.P.A. and Broekaert, W.F. 2001. Study of the role of antimicrobial glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanatesin resistance of *Arabidopsis* to microbial pathogens. Plant Physiology .125: 1688-1699.
- 27- Serra, B.; Rosa, E.; Iori, R.; Barillari, J.;Cardoso, A.; Abreu, C. and Rollin, P. 2002. In vitro activity of 2-phenylethyl glucosinolate, and its hydrolysis derivatives on the root-knot nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* (Woll.). Scientia Horticulturae (Amsterdam). 92: 75-81.
- 28- Wittstock, U.; Kliebenstein, D.J.; Lambrix, V.; Reichelt, M. and Gershenzon, J. 2003. Glucosinolate hydrolysisand its impact on generalist and specialist insect herbivores. In Recent Advances in Phytochemistry: Integrative Phytochemistry: from Ethnobotany to Molecular Ecology, J.T. Romeo, ed (Oxford: PergamonPress), pp. 101-125.
- 29- Shaaya, E. and Kostyukovsky, M. 2010. Alternative fumigants to methyl bromide for the control of pest infestation in grain and dry food products. 10th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection. 433-437.
- 30- Daoud, A. S.; Al –Dwlaimy, B.M. and Abdal –Jabbar, H.D.2010. Biological effect of some plants extracts on *Callosobruchus maculates* (Fab.) (Bruchidae, Coleoptera). Tikrit Journal of Pure Science .15(1): 81-91.
- 31- Ware G.W. 1994. The Pesticides Book. Thomson Publications, 4th ed. Fresno, CA, USA. 386p.
- 32- He, W. and Huang, B. 2011. A review of chemistry and bioactivities of a medicinal spice: *Foeniculum vulgare*. J. Med. Plants Res., 5(16): 3595-3600.
- 33- Miguel M. G., Curt, C.; Falerio, L.; Simaoes, M. T.; Figueiredo, A. C.; Barroso, J. G. and Pedro, L. G. 2010. *Foeniculum vulgare* essential oils: chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. National Production Communication, 5(2): 319-28.
- 34- Lopez, M. D.; Jordan, M. J.; Pascual-Villalobos, M. J. 2008. Toxic compounds in essential oils of coriander, caraway and basil active against stored rice pests. J. Stored Products Res., 44 (3): 273-278.
- 35- Kim, S. I.; Roh, J. Y.; Kim, D. H.; Lee, H. S. and Ahn, Y. J. 2003. Insecticidal activities of aromatic plant extracts and essential oils against *Sitophilus oryzae* and *Callosobruchus chinensis*. J. Stored Products Res., 39: 293-303.
- 36- Han, M. K. and Kim, S. I.; Ahn, Y. J. 2006. Insecticidal and antifeedant activities of medicinal plant extracts against *Attagenus unicolor japonicus* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). J. Stored Products Res., 42: 15-22.
- 37- Ebadollahi, A. 2011. Susceptibility of two *Sitophilus* species (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to essential oils from *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Satureja hortensis*. Ecologia Balkanica., 3(2):1-8.
- 38- Ibrahim, M.Y and Al- Naser, Z. 2009. Study of Efficacy of Some Extracts, Oils and Inert Dusts Agonst Cowpea Weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fab. (Colleoptera; Brucheidae) on Chickpea Seeds. University Journal for Agricultural Sciences .25(1):107-120.

- 39- Işık, M. and Görür, G. 2009. Aphidicidial activity of seven essential oils against the cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Mun. Ent. Zool., 4 (2): 424-431.
- 40- Lale, N. 1995. An overview of the use of plant products in the management of stored product Coleoptera in the tropics. Post-harvest News and Information, 6:69-75
- 41- Rajapakse, R. H. S. 2006. The potential of plants and plant products in stored insect pest management. J. Agric. Sci., 2(1): 11-21.

التتقييم المختبري لمسحوقي نبات الشمر Foeniculum vulgare والجرجير Oryzaephilus ضد حشرة خنفساء الحبوب المنشارية Eruca sativa surinamensis (L.)

مند سهيل عبد الحي قسم علوم الحياة – كلية العلوم – جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة