

On Fuzzy Derivation Of Fuzzy Near-ring

Sameer Qasim Hassan
Mahdi Saleh Nayef
Department of Mathematics
College of Education Al-Mustansirya University

Abstract

Let \tilde{R} be a fuzzy subnear-ring of a ring R , \tilde{U} a semifuzzy left ideal of R and d is additive map on R . The purpose of this paper is to prove if \tilde{R} admits a fuzzy derivation d which is fuzzy centralizing on \tilde{U} , then \tilde{V} is a fuzzy commutative ideal of R .

1.Introduction

There are many researchers are engaged in extending the concept of near-ring and derivation such as [6], [7]. A fuzzy near-ring with its properties and with a fuzzy ideal has been discussed in [1],[3],[5],[7],[8].

In this paper we given new results due applied a fuzzy derivation as new definition on a fuzzy near-ring.

A non-empty set R with two binary operation '+' and '.' is called a near-ring [2] if

- (1) $(R,+)$ is a group,
- (2) $(R,.)$ is a semigroup,
- (3) $x.(y+z) = x.y+x.z$ for all $x,y,z \in R$.

We will use the word 'near-ring' to mean a 'left near-ring'. We denote xy instead of $x.y$. Note that $x0=0$ and $x(-y)=-x$ but in general $0x \neq 0$ for some $x \in R$. An ideal I of near-ring R is a subset of R such that

- (4) $(I,+)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R,+)$,
- (5) $RI \subseteq I$,
- (6) $(r+i)s - rs \in I$ for any $i \in I$ and any $r,s \in R$. Note that I is a left ideal of R if I satisfies (4) and (5), and I is a right ideal of R if I satisfies (4) and (6).

Definition(1,2)[4], [9]:

Let X be a nonempty set, a fuzzy set \tilde{A} in X is characterized by its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}} : X \rightarrow J$ where J is the closed unite interval $[0,1]$, and we write a fuzzy set by the set of points

$\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)) : x \in X, 0 \leq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \leq 1\}$ and where $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is called a fuzzy relationship function.

Definition(1,3), [7],[8]:

Let R be a near-ring and \tilde{R} be a fuzzy subset of R. We say \tilde{R} a fuzzy subnear- ring of R if

(7) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}$,

(8) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x y) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in R$. \tilde{I} is called a fuzzy ideal of R if \tilde{I} is a fuzzy subnear-ring of R and

(9) $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(y + x - y)$,

(10) $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x y) \geq \mu_{\tilde{I}}(y)$,

((11) $\mu_{\tilde{I}}((x + i) y - x y) \geq \mu_{\tilde{I}}(i)$, for any $x, y, i \in R$. Note that \tilde{I} is a fuzzy left ideal (respect semi fuzzy left ideal) of R if it satisfies (7), (9) and (10) (resp. (7),(8) and

$\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x y) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$), and \tilde{I} is a fuzzy right ideal of R if it satisfies (7), (8), (9) and (11).

We give now some examples of fuzzy ideals of near-rings.

Example(1,1) , [7]:

Let $R:=\{ a,b,c,d\}$ be a set with two binary operations as follows :

+	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	d
b	b	a	d	c
c	c	d	b	a
d	d	c	a	b

.	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	a	a
d	a	a	b	b

Then we can easily see that $(R,+,.)$ is a (left) near-ring . Define a fuzzy subset $\mu_{\tilde{I}} : R \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(c) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(d) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(b) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(a)$. Then \tilde{I} is a fuzzy ideal of R.

Also see the following example.

Example(1,2), [7]:

Let $R:=\{ a,b,c,d\}$ be a set with two binary operations as follows :

+	a	b	c	d
---	---	---	---	---

a	a	b	c	d
b	b	a	d	c
c	c	d	b	a
d	d	c	a	b
.	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	a	a
d	a	b	c	b

Then we can easily see that $(R, +, .)$ is a (left) near-ring . Define a fuzzy subset $\mu_{\tilde{I}} : R \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(c) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(d) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(b) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(a)$. Then \tilde{I} is a fuzzy ideal of R , but not fuzzy right ideal of R , since $\mu_{\tilde{I}}((c + b)d - cd) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(d) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(b)$.

We denote \tilde{R} as a fuzzy near- ring and we define its fuzzy center as $C(\tilde{R}) = \{x \in C(R) : xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in R \text{ and } \mu_{\tilde{R}}(x) \in \mu_{C(\tilde{R})}\}$, also we denoted the fuzzy commutator by $(\mu_{\tilde{R}}([x, y]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xy - yx))$ and

In particular if $[x, y] = 0$, implies that $\mu_{\tilde{R}}([x, y]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$ and $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(xy) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(yx)$ for any elements $x, y \in R$. Also

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}([x, yz]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y[x, z] + [x, y]z)$$
 for any elements $x, y, z \in R$. .

Lemma(1,1),[7] :-

If a fuzzy subset \tilde{A} of R satisfies the property (7) then

- (i) $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$
- (ii) $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(-x) \geq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ for any $x, y \in R$.

Lemma(1,2),[8]:

Let \tilde{G} be a fuzzy subgroup of a group G and $x \in G$. Then $\mu_{\tilde{G}}(xy) = \mu_{\tilde{G}}(y)$ for every $y \in G$ if and only if $\mu_{\tilde{G}}(x) = \mu_{\tilde{G}}(0)$.

2.Main results

Definition(2,4):

\tilde{I} is called fuzzy commutative ideal if it is fuzzy ideal and $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(xy) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(yx)$ for $x, y \in R$.

Definition(2,5):

Let $d : R \rightarrow R$ be additive map and \tilde{R} is a fuzzy subnear-ring with membership function $\mu_{\tilde{R}}$. Then $d(\tilde{R})$ is a fuzzy set with membership function defined by $\mu_d : R \rightarrow [,$

$$\mu_d(y) = \begin{cases} \max\{\mu_R(x) : x \in d^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } d^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{then } d \text{ is a fuzzy derivation on } \tilde{R}$$

if satisfy the following

- i) $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$.
- ii) $\mu_d(d(xy)) = \max\{\min\{\mu_R(x), \mu_d(d(y))\}, \min\{\mu_d(d(x)), \mu_R(y)\}\} \forall x, y \in R$.

Example(2.1)

Let $R = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set with two binary operations as follows:

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	1	0
3	3	2	0	1
.	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	1	1

It is easy to see that R is near ring . Define a fuzzy subset $\mu_{\tilde{I}} : R \rightarrow [0,1]$ by

$\mu_{\tilde{I}}(2) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(3) < \mu_{\tilde{I}}(1) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(0)$.We can insure that \tilde{I} is a fuzzy near ring .Let now define a nonzero derivation on $M = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z, w \in R$ as follows:

$$d\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{also } \mu_d : M \rightarrow [0,1], \text{ for any two elements}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M$, with following conditions :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_d(0) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_2) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_1) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_2) = \mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_1) \\ \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_2)\} > \mu_{\tilde{I}}(y_2), \min\{\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\} > \mu_{\tilde{I}}(y_1), \\ \min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_2)\} > \mu_{\tilde{I}}(z_2) \text{ and } \min\{\mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\} > \mu_{\tilde{I}}(z_1). \end{aligned}$$

also $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_2) = \mu_d(w_2)$, $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_1) = \mu_d(x_1)$, $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x_2) = \mu_d(x_2)$ and $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(w_1) = \mu_d(w_1)$.

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_d (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)) &= \mu_d (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2 + y_1z_2 & x_1y_2 + y_1w_2 \\ z_1x_2 + w_1z_2 & z_1y_2 + w_1w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)) \\ &= \mu_d (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2 + y_1z_2 & x_1y_2 + y_1w_2 \\ z_1x_2 + w_1z_2 & z_1y_2 + w_1w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)) = \mu_d (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(x_1y_2 + y_1w_2) \\ z_1x_2 + w_1z_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_d(0) & \mu_d(-(x_1y_2 + y_1w_2)) \\ \mu_d(z_1x_2 + w_1z_2) & \mu_d(0) \end{pmatrix} = \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \mu_d(0) & \max\{\min\{\mu_d(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_d(w_2)\}\} \\ \max\{\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_d(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\}\} & \mu_d(0) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From other side , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right)) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_d(0) & \mu_d(-y_1) \\ \mu_d(z_1) & \mu_d(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_f(x_2) & \mu_f(y_2) \\ \mu_f(z_2) & \mu_f(w_2) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_f(x_1) & \mu_f(y_1) \\ \mu_f(z_1) & \mu_f(w_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_d(0) & \mu_d(-y_2) \\ \mu_d(z_2) & \mu_d(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \max\{\min\{\mu_d(0), \mu_f(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_f(z_2)\}\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_d(0), \mu_f(y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_f(w_2)\}\} \\ \max\{\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_f(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(0), \mu_f(z_2)\}\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_f(y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(0), \mu_f(w_2)\}\} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} \max\{\min\{\mu_f(x_1), \mu_d(0)\}, \min\{\mu_f(y_1), \mu_d(z_2)\}\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_f(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_f(y_1), \mu_d(0)\}\} \\ \max\{\min\{\mu_f(z_1), \mu_d(0)\}, \min\{\mu_f(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\}\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_f(z_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_f(w_1), \mu_d(0)\}\} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_d(0) = \mu_f(x_2) = \mu_f(x_1) = \mu_f(w_2) = \mu_f(w_1)$,

$\min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_f(w_2)\} > \mu_f(y_2)$, $\min\{\mu_f(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\} > \mu_f(y_1)$,

$\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_f(x_2)\} > \mu_f(z_2)$ and $\min\{\mu_f(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\} > \mu_f(z_1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max \left(\begin{pmatrix} \max\{\mu_d(0), \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_f(z_2)\}\} & \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_f(w_2)\} \\ \min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_f(x_2)\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_f(y_2)\}, \mu_d(0)\} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. , \begin{pmatrix} \max\{\mu_d(0), \min\{\mu_f(y_1), \mu_d(z_2)\}\} & \min\{\mu_f(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\} \\ \min\{\mu_f(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\} & \max\{\min\{\mu_f(z_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\}, \mu_d(0)\} \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_f(w_2) = \mu_d(w_2)$, $\mu_f(x_1) = \mu_d(x_1)$, $\mu_f(x_2) = \mu_d(x_2)$ and $\mu_f(w_1) = \mu_d(w_1)$.

Then the final fuzzy matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_d(0) & \max\{\min\{\mu_d(x_1), \mu_d(-y_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(-y_1), \mu_d(w_2)\}\} \\ \max\{\min\{\mu_d(z_1), \mu_d(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_d(w_1), \mu_d(z_2)\}\} & \mu_d(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$\mu_d (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)) = \mu_d (d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ z_1 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot d \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_2 & y_2 \\ z_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right))$$

Proposition(2,1):

let \tilde{A} be a fuzzy subset of R and $d : R \rightarrow R$ be additive map .
 if $\mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0)$ then

$$i) \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) \tag{1}$$

$$ii) \mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, [x, d(x)]]) \geq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) . \tag{2}$$

poof

Assume that $\mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0)$,for all $x \in R$.

Then

i) $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x) - d(x)x + d(x)x)$ from properties (7),(8) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x)) &\geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x) - d(x)x), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) \} \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x - xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x) - d(x)x) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0)$, we get

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x)) \geq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) .$$

Hence $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x))$.

$$\begin{aligned} ii) \mu_{\tilde{A}}([[x, d(x)], x]) &\geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]x), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x [x, d(x)]) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)], \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]) \} \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(0) \} \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \} \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}([[x, d(x)], x]) \geq \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in R .$$

Corollary(2,1):

let \tilde{A} be a fuzzy subset of R and $d : R \rightarrow R$ be additive map . If $\mu_{\tilde{A}}([x, d(x)]) = 0$ then $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(d(x)x) = 0$.

Theorem(2,1):

Let d be derivation admits on a near- ring R , and \tilde{R} a fuzzy subnear-ring of R

.If $y \in d^{-1}d(x)$ for $x, y \in R$, $x \in d^{-1}d(y)$ and $\mu_d(d(x)) = \sup_{t \in d^{-1}(d(x))} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(t)$ then

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(xy)) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}.$$

Proof

Let d be a derivation on a near- ring R and , and \tilde{R} fuzzy subnear-ring of R .
 By definition(2.5),we have that

$$\mu_d(d(xy)) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(y) + d(x)y), \text{ then}$$

by definition(1.3) (7) and definition(2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_d(d(xy)) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x)y), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(y))\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_d(d(x)), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_d(d(y))\}\} \\ &= \min\left\{\min\left\{\sup_{t \in d^{-1}(d(x))} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(t), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\right\}, \min\left\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \sup_{t \in d^{-1}(d(y))} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(t)\right\}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

From $y \in d^{-1}d(x)$ and $x \in d^{-1}d(y)$ for $x, y \in R$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\left\{\min\left\{\sup_{t \in d^{-1}(d(x))} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(t), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\right\}, \min\left\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \sup_{t \in d^{-1}(d(y))} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(t)\right\}\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x_0), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y_0)\}\right\} \quad \text{for some } x_0, y_0 \in R \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_d(d(xy)) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)\}$$

Lemma(2,3):

Let \tilde{R} be a fuzzy subnear ring of R and d is derivation of a near- ring R
 then for any $x, y \in R$

(i) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x)x)$

(ii) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(-xd(x)) \geq \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(x))$

(iii) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x-y)) \geq \min\{\mu_d(d(x)), \mu_d(d(y))\}$

(v) $\mu_{\tilde{R}}((d(x)x + xd(x))) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(x), \mu_d(d(x))\}$

Proof

(i) we have that for any $x \in R$

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x)x - d(x)x). \text{ By (7), we get}$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(-xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0 - xd(x)) \geq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0), \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(x))\} = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(x))$$

For all $x \in R$.since x is arbitrary ,we conclude that

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(-xd(x)) \geq \mu_{\tilde{R}}(xd(x))$$

(iii) Since d is additive map ,thus

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x-y)) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(d(x) - d(y)) \geq \min\{\mu_d(d(x)), \mu_d(d(y))\}$$

(v) It is clear.

Theorem(2,2):-

Let \tilde{R} be a fuzzy near ring and \tilde{U} is a nonzero semifuzzy left ideal .If \tilde{R} admits a fuzzy derivation d which is nonzero on \tilde{U} such that $\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) \in \mu_{C(\tilde{R})}$, then \tilde{V} is a nonzero fuzzy commutative ideal of R .

In order to prove the theorem we need the following lemma, which shows under certain conditions a fuzzy centralizing derivation is equal $\mu(0)$.

Lemma(2,4):-

Let \tilde{R} be a fuzzy near ring and \tilde{U} is a semifuzzy left ideal of \tilde{R} , if d is a fuzzy derivation of R which is $\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) \in \mu_{C(\tilde{R})}$.then $\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(0), \forall x, y \in U$.

proof

since d is a fuzzy derivation of \tilde{R} which is centralizing on \tilde{U} .Thus

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) \in \mu_{C(\tilde{R})}$$

(3)

Replacing x be x^2 in (3) , we get :

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x^2, d(x^2)])$$

$$= \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x^2, xd(x) + d(x)x])$$

$$= \mu_{\tilde{U}}(4x^2[x, d(x)]) \in \mu_{C(\tilde{R})}$$

(4)

Commuting last equation with $d(x)$,and since (4) is in $C(\tilde{R})$, yield

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}(4[x^2[x, \tilde{d}(x)], d(x)]) = 0$$

(5)

Thus

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}(8x[x, d(x)]^3) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$$

(6)

Therefore

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}(8x[x, d(x)]^2) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(8x[x, d(x)][x, d(x)])$$

Since \tilde{U} is semifuzzy left ideal , we have

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) .$$

Definition(2.6):-

\tilde{I} is a fuzzy Maximal ideal in a near ring R if there is no fuzzy ideal \tilde{J} in R such that $\mu_{\tilde{I}}(x) \leq \mu_{\tilde{J}}(y)$ for $x, y \in R$.

Lemma(2,5):-

Any fuzzy near ring \tilde{R} has a fuzzy family $\tilde{\Omega} = \{\tilde{P}_\alpha / \alpha \in \lambda\}$ of fuzzy maximal

ideal such that $\mu_{\bigcap_{a \in I} \tilde{P}_a}(x) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$ for any $x \in R$.

proof

Let $(a_1, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_1)) = (a_0^2, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_0^2))$ be anon zero fuzzy element of the fuzzy near ring \tilde{R} , also $(a_2, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_2)) = (a_1^2, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_1^2))$,so continuing this process, we get a countable sequence of nonzero fuzzy elements $(a_0, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_0)), (a_1, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_1)), (a_2, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_2)) \dots (a_{n+1}, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_{n+1})) = (a_n, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_n))$.

let \tilde{M} be the set of all fuzzy ideals of \tilde{R} that contain no elements of this sequence . \tilde{M} is not empty since the zero fuzzy ideal is an element in \tilde{M} .By Zorn' s lemma the set \tilde{M} contains a maximal fuzzy element say \tilde{p}_{a_0} , the ideal dose not intersect the sequence $(a_0, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_0)), (a_1, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_1)), (a_2, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_2)) \dots (a_n, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a_n))$

but any fuzzy ideal containing \tilde{p}_a has a nonempty intersection with this sequence .Now since $(a, \mu_{\tilde{R}}(a)) \notin \tilde{p}_a$,then $\mu_{\bigcap_{0 \neq x \in R} \tilde{p}_a}(x) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$ for any $x \in R$.

Proof of theorem (2,2)

By lemma (2.4), we have that

$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$, replacing x by $x + y$, we obtain

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x + y, d(x + y)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x + y)] + [y, d(x + y)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)] + [x, d(y)] + [y, d(x)] + [y, d(y)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$$

From (7),we obtain

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(y)]) \} \text{ for } x, y \in U .$$

Also from lemma(2.4) ,we get

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(x)]) \}$$

From lemma(1.1),we have that

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(x)]) \} \tag{7}$$

Replacing y by yx in (7) ,we get

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(yx)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([yx, d(x)]) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(yx)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([xy, d(x)]) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)x] + [x, yd(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x[y, d(x)] + [x, d(x)]y) \}$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]x + d(y)[x, x] + [x, y]d(x) + y[x, d(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x[y, d(x)] + [x, d(x)]y) \} \tag{8}$$

Since $\mu(y[x, d(x)]) = \mu(0)$, $[x, x] = 0$ and (8),become

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]x + [x, y]d(x)), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x[y, d(x)]) \}$$

$$= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]x), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x[y, d(x)]) \}, \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]d(x)) \} \tag{9}$$

Since $\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(y)]x) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x)$, $\mu_{\tilde{U}}(x[y, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(x)])$ and (9), yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) &\geq \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([y, d(x)]), \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]d(x)) \right\} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \min \left\{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x), \min \{ \mu(y), \mu_d(d(x)) \}, \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]d(x)) \right\} \right\}, \text{ hence,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]d(x))$$

By replacing y by wy for arbitrary $w \in U$

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, wy]d(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(w[x, y]d(x) + [x, w]yd(x))$$

From properties (7) ,(8) of semi fuzzy left ideals \tilde{U} , yield

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}(w[x, y]d(x) + [x, w]yd(x)) \geq \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, w]yd(x))$$

Thus

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, w]yd(x)) \quad \text{for all } y \in U$$

Since $RU \in U$, we obtain

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]Ud(x))$$

From lemma (2.5), we get

$$\mu_{\bigcap_{0 < \alpha \in R} \tilde{P}_\alpha}(z) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0) \geq \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]Ud(x)) \text{ for any } z \in R.$$

Therefore

$$\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]Ud(x)) \leq \min \left\{ \mu_{\tilde{P}_\alpha}(z) \right\}_{\alpha \in \lambda} \text{ for any } z \in R.$$

$$\text{a) } \mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, y]) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_\alpha}(z) \quad \forall \alpha \in \lambda \quad z \in R.$$

Or

$$\text{b) } \mu_{\tilde{U}d(\tilde{U})}(x) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_\alpha}(z) \quad \forall \alpha \in \lambda \quad z \in R.$$

Let \tilde{P}_1 and \tilde{P}_2 be respectively the intersections of all type-one and type-two fuzzy maximal ideal such that $\mu_{\tilde{P}_1 \cap \tilde{P}_2}(z) = \{0\}$ $z \in R$. .

Now we investigate a typical-two maximal $\tilde{P}_2 = \tilde{P}_\alpha$, from (b) and the fact that $\mu_{\tilde{U}}([x, d(x)]) = \mu_{\tilde{R}}(0)$.

For all $x \in U$, we have from proposition(2.1) that $\mu_{\tilde{U}}(xd(x)) = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(d(x)x)$ and $\mu_{\tilde{U}}(d(x)x) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)$ for any $z \in R$..

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{U}}(x+y)d(x+y) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z) \quad \forall x, y \in U \\ \Rightarrow \mu_{\tilde{U}}((x+y)(d(x)+d(y))) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z) \\ = \mu_{\tilde{U}}(xd(x) + xd(y) + yd(x) + yd(y)) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \mu_{\tilde{U}}(xd(x)) \text{ and } \mu_{\tilde{U}}(yd(y)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)$$

Thus

$$\min \{ \mu_{\tilde{U}}(xd(y)), \mu_{\tilde{U}}(yd(x)) \} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z), \quad z \in R. \tag{10}$$

and the same way $\mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(x+y)(x+y)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)$, we have that :

$$\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(x)y), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(y)x)\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z) \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11) , we have that ,

$$\min\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(x)y), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(y)x)\}, \min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(xd(y)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(yd(x))\}\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z) \quad (12)$$

Replacing x by z and y by $xy + yx$ in (12), we get

$$\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(z)(xy + yx)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(xy + yx)z)\}, \min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(zd(xy + yx)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}((xy + yx)d(z))\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1), \quad z_1 \in R.$$

So from (12), we get

$$\min\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(xy + yx), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(z)\}, \min\{\mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(xy + yx)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(z))\}\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1) \quad z_1 \in R, \quad (13)$$

(13) implies to

$$\min\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(y), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(x), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(z)\}, \min\{\mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(y)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(x)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(z))\}\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$$

Since all above relations worked with $\forall x, y, z \in U$, thus

$$\min\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(U), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(U), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(U)\}, \min\{\mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(U)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(U)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(U))\}\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$$

Hence $\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(U), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(U))\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)$, which implies to the following

$$a') \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(R) \geq \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(U))$$

Or

$$b') \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(R) \geq \mu_{\tilde{V}}(U)$$

So if (b') satisfied that, make condition (a) also satisfied and obtain contradiction of our definition of type two. Therefore

$$\mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(u)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(r) \quad \text{for any } u \in U, r \in R. \quad (14)$$

From (b) , we have $d(u)u = ud(u)$, thus $\mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(u)u) = \mu_{\tilde{V}}(ud(u)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$.

Since

$$\min\{\mu_{\tilde{V}}(rd(r)d(u)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(u)\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{V}}(rd(r)d(u)u) = \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(u)u) = \mu_{\tilde{V}}(ud(u)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$$

$$\min\{\min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(rd(r)), \mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(u))\}, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(u)\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1), \quad \text{there are two cases}$$

$$\min\{\mu_{\tilde{R}}(rd(r)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(u)\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1) \quad (15)$$

or

$$\min\{\mu_{d(\tilde{V})}(d(u)), \mu_{\tilde{V}}(u)\} \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1) \quad (16)$$

By (15), we get $\mu_{\tilde{R}}(rd(r)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$ or $\mu_{\tilde{V}}(u) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$

But the second parte is not true, we have that

$$\mu_{\tilde{R}}(rd(r)) \leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1)$$

Consider now the fuzzy left ideal \tilde{V} generated by the set $(d(R)U, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(R)U))$, we shall show that \tilde{V} is commutative. Atypical element of \tilde{V} are of the form $(d(r)u, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(d(r)u))$ and $(sd(r)u, \mu_{\tilde{V}}(sd(r)u))$, where $r, s \in R$ and $u \in U$. So we need only show that the commutators of the form

$[d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2], [s_1d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]$ and $[s_1d(r_1)u_1, s_2d(r_2)u_2]$ are equal to zero.

By using (a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{V}}([d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_1}(z_1), \\ \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_1}(z_1), \\ \text{and } \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, s_2d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_1}(z_1) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Also

$$[d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2], [s_1d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2], [s_1d(r_1)u_1, s_2d(r_2)u_2] \in Rd(R)$$

The form (17), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{V}}([d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1), \\ \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1), \\ \text{and } \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, s_2d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z_1) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tilde{V}}([d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{P}_1}(z), \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)\} = \mu_{\tilde{P}_1 \cap \tilde{P}_2}(z) \\ \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \min\{\mu_{\tilde{P}_1}(z), \mu_{\tilde{P}_2}(z)\} = \mu_{\tilde{P}_1 \cap \tilde{P}_2}(z) \quad \text{for any } z \in R. \\ \text{and } \mu_{\tilde{V}}([s_1d(r_1)u_1, s_2d(r_2)u_2]) &\leq \mu_{\tilde{P}_1 \cap \tilde{P}_2}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by lemma (2,5), we obtain \tilde{V} is fuzzy commutative ideal.

References:

- [1] Abou-Zaid. , S., " On fuzzy subnear-rings and ideals", Fuzzy Sets and Sys. 44(1991),139-146.
- [2] Clay, Nearings J.R. ; "Geneses and Applications", Oxford, New York(1992).
- [3] Dixit V.N., Kumar R. and Ajal N., "On fuzzy rings", Fuzzy Sets and Sys. 49(1992),205-213.
- [4] Dubois D. and Prade H. "Fuzzy sets and systems theory and Applications" Mathematics in science and Engineering Vol.144(1980).
- [5] Liu W. , "Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals", Fuzzy Sets and Sys. *(1982),133-139.
- [6] Samer kasim & Muhsin Jabel., "Derivation of Semiprime Ring", proceeding of 3rd scientific conference of the College of Science, University of Baghdad. 24 to 26 March 2009.
- [7] Seung Dong Kim & Hee Sik Kim ." On Fuzzy Ideals Of Near-Ring", Bull.Korean Math. Soc. 33(1996), No. 4, pp. 593-601.
- [8] vasantha Kandasamy W.B., "Smarandache Fuzzy Algebra", American research press. 2003.
- [9] Zadeh L. A. "Fuzzy sets " Inform contr. (1965), pp. 338-353.

المستخلص

ليكن \tilde{R} حلقة قرب جزئية ضبابية من الحلقة R وليكن \tilde{U} مثالي ايسر شبه ضبابي معرف على R و كذلك d تطبيق اضافة على R . أن الغرض من هذا البحث هو برهنة مايلي اذا كانت \tilde{R} تسمح بأشتقاق

On Fuzzy Derivation Of Fuzzy Near-ring

Sameer Qasim Hassan , Mahdi Saleh Nayef

ضبابي d الذي يكون متمركز ضبابيا على \tilde{U} ، فإن R تحوي مثالي ابدال ضبابي \tilde{V} .