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#### Abstract

: The Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) encryption algorithm is a block cipher that uses an encryption key and a several rounds of encryption. A block cipher is an encryption algorithm that works on a single block of data at a time. In the case of standard AES encryption the block is 128 bits, or 16 bytes, in length. The term "rounds" refers to the way in which the encryption algorithm mixes the data re-encrypting it ten to fourteen times depending on the length of the key[1]. The AES algorithm itself is not a computer program or computer source code. It is a mathematical description of a process of obscuring data. A number of people have created source code implementations of AES encryption, including the original authors.

This paper we introduces a modification study for the AES with new permutation of portion. We add initial permutation to beginning of AES encryption and when decrypt add permutation inverse to its end. These make it more confusion then original AES.


## 1-Introduction

Secrecy is the heart of cryptography. Encryption is a practical means to achieve information secrecy. Modern encryption techniques are mathematical transformations (algorithms) which treat messages as numbers or algebraic elements in a space and transform them between a region of "meaningful messages" and a region of "unintelligible messages". In order to restore information, an encryption transformation must be reversible and the reversing transformation is called decryption. Conventionally, encryption and decryption algorithms are parameterized by cryptographic keys. An encryption algorithm and a decryption algorithm plus the description on the format of messages and keys form a cryptographic system or a cryptosystem[2].

Semantically, Shannon characterizes a desired property for a cryptosystem as follows: the ciphertext message space is the space of all possible messages while the cleartext message space is a sparse region inside the message space, in which messages have a certain fairly simple statistical structure, i.e., they are meaningful; a (good) encryption algorithm is a mixing transformation which distributes the meaningful messages from the sparse and meaningful region fairly uniformly over the entire message space[1].


## 2-Cryptography

As the scope of cryptography has broadened in recent years attempts have been made to lay more rigorous mathematical foundations for the subject. While cryptography has historically been seen as an art rather than a science this has always really depended on which side of the 'cryptographic fence' you belong. We distinguish between cryptographers, whose job it is to design cryptographic systems, and cryptanalysts, whose job it is to try to break them. Cryptanalysts have been using mathematics to break ciphers for more than a thousand years.

One could argue that cryptographers have been less scientific when designing cryptosystems. They have often relied on intuition to guide their choice of cipher. A common mistake that is repeated throughout the history of cryptography is that a 'complicated' cryptosystem must be secure. As we will see those cryptosystems which are currently believed to be most secure are really quite simple to describe[3].

The massive increase in the public use of cryptography, driven partly by the advent of the Internet, has led to a large amount of work attempting to put cryptography on a firm scientific footing. In many ways this has been extremely successful: for example it is now possible to agree (up to a point) on what it means to say that a cryptographic protocol is secure. However, we must caution against complacency: the inability to prove that certain computational problems are indeed 'difficult' means that almost every aspect of modern cryptography relies on extremely plausible, but nevertheless unproven, security assumptions[2]. In this respect modern cryptography shares some unfortunate similarities with the cryptography of earlier times!

## 3-Block Cipher Principles

Most symmetric block encryption algorithms in current use are based on a structure referred to as a Feistel block cipher. For that reason, it is important to examine the design principles of the Feistel cipher. We begin with a comparison of stream ciphers and block ciphers. Then we discuss the motivation for the Feistel block cipher structure. Finally, we discuss some of its implications[4].

The most widely used encryption scheme is based on the Data Encryption Standard (DES) adopted in 1977 by the National Bureau of Standards, now the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), as Federal Information Processing Standard 46 (FIPS PUB 46). The algorithm itself is referred to as the Data Encryption Algorithm (DEA). For DES, data are encrypted in 64-bit blocks using a 56-bit key. The algorithm transforms 64-bit input in a series of steps into a 64-bit output. The same steps, with the same key, are used to reverse the encryption[5].

The terminology is a bit confusing. Until recently, the terms DES and DEA could be used interchangeably. However, the most recent edition of the DES document includes a specification of the DEA described here plus the triple

$\overline{\overline{D E A}}$ (TDEA). Both DEA and TDEA are part of the Data Encryption Standard. Further, until the recent adoption of the official term TDEA, the triple DEA algorithm was typically referred to as triple DES and written as 3DES. For the sake of convenience, we use the term 3DES[4].

## 4- Advance Encryption Standard (AES)

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mentioned that in 1999, issued a new version of its DES standard (FIPS PUB 46-3) (FIPS stands for Federal Information Processing Standard.) that indicated that DES should only be used for legacy systems and that triple DES (3DES) be used. 3DES has two attractions that assure its widespread use over the next few years. First, with its 168-bit key length, it overcomes the vulnerability to brute-force attack of DES. Second, the underlying encryption algorithm in 3DES is the same as in DES. This algorithm has been subjected to more security than any other encryption algorithm over a longer period of time, and no effective cryptanalytic attack based on the algorithm rather than brute force has been found. Accordingly, there is a high level of confidence that 3DES is very resistant to cryptanalysis. If security were the only consideration, then 3DES would be an appropriate choice for a standardized encryption algorithm for decades to come.

The principal drawback of 3DES is that the algorithm is relatively sluggish in software. The original DES was designed for mid-1970s hardware implementation and does not produce efficient software code. 3DES, which has three times as many rounds as DES, is correspondingly slower. A secondary drawback is that both DES and 3DES use a 64 -bit block size. For reasons of both efficiency and security, a larger block size is desirable [1][2].

Because of these drawbacks, 3DES is not a reasonable candidate for longterm use. As a replacement, NIST in 1997 issued a call for proposals for a new Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), which should have a security strength equal to or better than 3DES and significantly improved efficiency. In addition to these general requirements, NIST specified that AES must be a symmetric block cipher with a block length of 128 bits and support for key lengths of 128 , 192, and 256 bits.

In a first round of evaluation, 15 proposed algorithms were accepted. A second round narrowed the field to 5 algorithms. NIST completed its evaluation process and published a final standard (FIPS PUB 197) in November of 2001. NIST selected Rijndael as the proposed AES algorithm. The two researchers who developed and submitted Rijndael for the AES are both cryptographers from Belgium: Dr. Joan Daemen and Dr. Vincent Rijmen[6].

Ultimately, AES is intended to replace 3DES, but this process will take a number of years. NIST anticipates that 3DES will remain an approved algorithm (for U.S. government use) for the foreseeable future[7].

Like the DES (and most modern symmetric-key block ciphers), the Rijndael algorithm comprises a plural number of iterations of a basic unit of

transformation: "round." In the minimum case of 128-bit message-block and key-block size, the number of rounds is 10 . For larger message sizes and key sizes, the number of rounds should be increased accordingly [8].
A round transformation in Rijndael is denoted by:
Round (State, RoundKey)
Here State is a round-message matrix and is treated as both input and output; RoundKey is a round-key matrix and is derived from the input key via key schedule. The execution of a round will cause the elements of State to change value (i.e., to change its state). For encryption (respectively, decryption), State input to the first round is Input Block which is the plaintext (respectively, ciphertext) message matrix, and State output from the final round is the ciphertext (respectively, plaintext) message matrix[9] see figure 1.
High-level description of the AES algorithm
Input :plaintext
Output :ciphertext

- KeyExpansion using Rijndael's key schedule
- Initial Round

1. AddRoundKey

- Rounds

1. SubBytes-a non-linear substitution step where each byte is replaced with another according to a lookup table.
2. ShiftRows - a transposition step where each row of the state is shifted cyclically a certain number of steps.
3. MixColumns-a mixing operation which operates on the columns of the state, combining the four bytes in each column
4. AddRoundKey-each byte of the state is combined with the round key; each round key is derived from the cipher key using a key schedule[6].

- Final Round (no MixColumns)

1. SubBytes
2. ShiftRows
3. AddRoundKey


## 4-1 AES Evaluation

It is worth examining the criteria used by NIST to evaluate potential candidates. These criteria span the range of concerns for the practical application of modern symmetric block ciphers. In fact, two set of criteria evolved. When NIST issued its original request for candidate algorithm nominations in 1997[10], The three categories of criteria were as follows:

- Security: This refers to the effort required to cryptanalyze an algorithm. The emphasis in the evaluation was on the practicality of the attack. Because the minimum key size for AES is 128 bits, brute-force attacks with current and projected technology were considered impractical. Therefore, the emphasis, with respect to this point, is cryptanalysis other than a brute-force attack.
- Cost: NIST intends AES to be practical in a wide range of applications. Accordingly, AES must have high computational efficiency, so as to be usable in high-speed applications, such as broadband links.
- Algorithm and implementation characteristics: This category includes a variety of considerations, including flexibility; suitability for a variety of hardware and software implementations; and simplicity, which will make an analysis of security more straightforward[13].


## Rationale:

The Rijndael developers designed the expansion key algorithm to be resistant to known cryptanalytic attacks. The inclusion of a round-dependent round constant eliminates the symmetry, or similarity, between the ways in which round keys are generated in different rounds. The specific criteria that were used are as follows [11]:

- Knowledge of a part of the cipher key or round key does not enable calculation of many other round key bits
- An invertible transformation [i.e., knowledge of any Nk consecutive words of the Expanded Key enables regeneration the entire expanded key ( $\mathrm{Nk}=$ key size in words)]
- Speed on a wide range of processors
- Usage of round constants to eliminate symmetries
- Diffusion of cipher key differences into the round keys; that is, each key bit affects many round key bits
- Enough nonlinearity to prohibit the full determination of round key differences from cipher key differences only
- Simplicity of description


The idea is that if you know less than Nk consecutive words of either the cipher key or one of the round keys, then it is difficult to reconstruct the remaining unknown bits. The fewer bits one knows, the more difficult it is to do the reconstruction or to determine other bits in the key expansion [12].

## 5-The Proposed modified AES with Permutation

The initial permutation and its inverse are defined by tables, as shown in Tables 1a and 1 b , respectively. These tables are used to increase the confusion for the plaintext and illustrated as follows. The input to a table consists of 128 bits numbered from 1 to 128 The 128 entries in the permutation table contain a permutation of the numbers from 1 to 128 . Each entry in the permutation table indicates the position of a numbered input bit in the output, which also consists of 128 bits.
If we then take the inverse permutation $Y=\operatorname{IP}^{-1}(X)=\operatorname{IP}^{-1}(\operatorname{IP}(M))$, it can be seen that the original ordering of the bits is restored.
Table 1 Permutation Tables for proposed system
(a) Initial Permutation (IP)

| 114 | 98 | 82 | 66 | 50 | 34 | 18 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 100 | 84 | 68 | 52 | 36 | 20 | 4 |
| 118 | 102 | 86 | 70 | 54 | 38 | 22 | 6 |
| 120 | 104 | 88 | 72 | 56 | 40 | 24 | 8 |
| 122 | 106 | 90 | 74 | 58 | 42 | 26 | 10 |
| 124 | 108 | 92 | 76 | 60 | 44 | 28 | 12 |
| 126 | 110 | 94 | 78 | 62 | 46 | 30 | 14 |
| 128 | 112 | 96 | 80 | 64 | 48 | 32 | 16 |
| 113 | 97 | 81 | 65 | 49 | 33 | 17 | 1 |
| 115 | 99 | 83 | 67 | 51 | 35 | 19 | 3 |
| 117 | 101 | 85 | 69 | 53 | 37 | 21 | 5 |
| 119 | 103 | 87 | 71 | 55 | 39 | 23 | 7 |
| 121 | 105 | 89 | 73 | 57 | 41 | 25 | 9 |
| 123 | 107 | 91 | 75 | 59 | 43 | 27 | 11 |
| 125 | 109 | 93 | 77 | 61 | 45 | 29 | 13 |
| 127 | 111 | 95 | 79 | 63 | 47 | 31 | 15 |

Table 1 Permutation Tables for proposed system
(b) Inverse Initial Permutation ( $\mathbf{I P}^{-1}$ )

| 80 | 16 | 96 | 32 | 112 | 48 | 128 | 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 15 | 95 | 31 | 111 | 47 | 127 | 63 |
| 78 | 14 | 94 | 30 | 110 | 46 | 126 | 62 |
| 77 | 13 | 93 | 29 | 109 | 45 | 125 | 61 |
| 76 | 12 | 92 | 28 | 108 | 44 | 124 | 60 |
| 75 | 11 | 91 | 27 | 107 | 43 | 123 | 59 |
| 74 | 10 | 90 | 26 | 106 | 42 | 122 | 58 |
| 73 | 9 | 89 | 25 | 105 | 41 | 121 | 57 |
| 72 | 8 | 88 | 24 | 104 | 40 | 120 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 71 | 7 | 87 | 23 | 103 | 39 | 119 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 6 | 86 | 22 | 102 | 38 | 118 | 54 |
| 69 | 5 | 85 | 21 | 101 | 37 | 117 | 53 |
| 68 | 4 | 84 | 20 | 100 | 36 | 116 | 52 |
| 67 | 3 | 83 | 19 | 99 | 35 | 115 | 51 |
| 66 | 2 | 82 | 18 | 98 | 34 | 114 | 50 |
| 65 | 1 | 81 | 17 | 97 | 33 | 113 | 49 |

The modifying AES is to add the initial permutation in the beginning of encryption and inverse permutation in the end of decryption as in the figure 2.


Encryption


Decryption

## Figure 2 Modified AES Encryption/Decryption Model

## 6-Implementation

The proposed modified AES model is implemented in C++programming language. Where we take various plaintext messages with different sizes. The following table explains the run time execution for the proposed model compared to the original AES model.

Table 2 The Running Time measured in msec.



Figure 3 The Running Time Execution
Figure 3 present that the original AES and the modification AES have the same time of execution.

## 7- Result and discussion

While there is much debate about the security and performance of AES, there is a consensus that it is significantly more secure than even 3DES, and in many environments faster. The AES is available in three key sizes: 128, 192 and 256 bits, versus the 56 bit DES. Therefore, there are around 1021 times more AES 128 -bit keys than DES 56-bit keys.
Some cryptanalysts have also suggested that AES performance is up to $40 \%$ faster in hardware and software than 3DES, although it's open to debate and interpretation.
As one expert put it, assuming that you could recover a DES key in a second (trying 255 keys per second), it would take the same machine approximately 149 trillion years to recover a 128 -bit AES key. The conclusion can be summarized in table 3 below:


Table 3 comparison between AES, DES, 3DES and the proposed modified
AES

|  | AES | TDES/ 3DES | DES | Modified AES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Advanced Encryption Standard | Triple Data Encryption Standard | Data Encryption Standard | Modified <br> Advanced <br> Encryption <br> Standard |
| Timeline | Official standard since 2001 | Standardized 1977 | 1977 | Proposed in this paper |
| Type of algorithm | Symmetric block cipher | Symmetric block cipher | Symmetric block cipher | Symmetric block cipher |
| Block size | $\begin{gathered} 128,192, \text { or } \\ 256 \text { bits } \end{gathered}$ | 64 bits | 64 bits | $\begin{gathered} 128,192, \text { or } \\ 256 \text { bits } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Key size (in } \\ \text { bits) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variable (128, } \\ 192, \text { or } 256) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fixed(168) | Fixed(56) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variable (128, } \\ & \text { 192, or } 256 \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Speed | High | Low | Medium | High |
| Time to crack (assume a machine could try 255 keys per second NIST) | 149 trillion years | 4.6 billion years | 400 days | More than 149 trillion years |
| Resource consumption | Low | Medium | Medium | Low |
| Style Structure | No Fiestal fashion based | Fiestal fashion based | Fiestal fashion based | No Fiestal fashion based |
| Algorithm behavior | Not Invertible algorithm | Invertible algorithm | Invertible algorithm | Not Invertible algorithm |
| Number of round | 10,12,14depend of <br> key size | 48 | 16 | 10,12, <br> 14depend of key size |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Algorithm } \\ & \text { style } \end{aligned}$ | Strength <br> Algebraic based | Boolean Algebra | Boolean Algebra | Strength <br> Algebraic based |
| Cryptanalysis resistance | Strong against differential, truncated differential, linear, interpolation and Square attacks | Vulnerable to differential and linear cryptanalysis; weak substitution tables | Vulnerable to differential and linear cryptanalysis; weak substitution tables | Strong against differential, truncated differential, linear, interpolation and Square attacks |
| Security | Considered secure | Proven inadequate | Proven inadequate | Considered secure |



## 8- Conclusion

The Proposed AES proves to be better security than DES, Triple DES and AES, as it takes considerably much more time to break by the brute force program for a given key length.
Time Taken to break Proposed AES algorithm by a brute force program increases exponentially with increase in the key lengths. The modified method it make more secrecy getting from the additional permutation for the input plaintext with add very small time for encryption and decryption. But it increase time of attack.
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الملخّص

## م .م احمد محمد جايد <br> جامعة بغدادا كلية الاعلام

إنَ خوارزميةَ تتففيرِ AES هي من نوع تثتفير الكتلةٍ الذي يَستعملُ مفناحَ تشففيرِ وعِدّة دورات للتشفيرِر . ان تشفيرِ الكتلةِ هي خوارزميةُ تُشفيرِ والتي تَعْمُ
 تكون طول الكتّة 128 قطعةُ، أَو 16 بايت. يُشيرُ تُبيرَ الدورة إلى الطريقِ التي يخلط فيها خوارزميةَ تشُفيرَ البياناتِ وتقوم بإعادة التَتْنْفير عشُرَ إلى أربع عشُرْ مرةٍ إعتِماداً على طولِ المفتاحِ. ان خوارزمية AES نفسها لَيستٌ برنامج حاسوبِبِ أَو نص حاسوبٍ الأصلي. بل هي وصف رياضي لعملية معالجة البياناتِ. في هذه البحث نُقَّمُم دراسة لتعديل خوارزمية AES وذلك باضافة التنديل او نشر الليانات قبل الاخول الى الخوارزمية مما يزيد من الامنية ويمكن الرجوع الى الاصل باضافة معكوس التوزيع بعد نهاية فكك الثفرة مما يجعلها اكثر تعقيباً من النسخة الاصلية. ان تعديل الخوارزمية يؤدي الى زيادة الامنية لكن بوقت اطول

بصورة فليلة جدا.

